Modeling Electrotaxis of Stem Cells to Stroke Sites in the Human Brain

Socrates Dokos¹, Trisha Pfluger², and Kristen W. Carlson³

1. University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia 2. Juno Biomedical, Inc., Los Angeles, CA 3. BIDMC/Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

INTRODUCTION: Research has shown electric fields (EFs) induce directional migration in stem cells along an electrical potential gradient, a process known as cell electrotaxis, or cell galvanotaxis^{1,2,3}. We built a COMSOL model of two probes directing stem cells to brain tissue damaged by stroke (Fig.1).



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: At an electrode separation of 2.8 mm used in rodent studies, a therapeutic value of 200 mV/mm was reached, replicating empirical results, with EF values increasing to 1300 mV/mm near the probes (Fig.2, top). Off-the-shelf deep brain stimulation probes used for initialing modeling did not perform well at 10 mm probe separation intended for human patients, showing a

Figure 1. Mesh view of two probes with electrode arrays to control an electrical potential gradient.

Equations and Optimization Module. COMSOL's Electric Currents interface solved the steady-state current conservation equations⁴:

$$\nabla \cdot J = 0 \qquad (1)$$
$$J = \sigma E + J_0 \qquad (2)$$
$$E = -\nabla V \qquad (3)$$

rapid 1/r² drop in EF strength (Fig.2, bottom), while current density stayed 80% below safety limits.



Figure 3. EF strength with 10mm probe separation using 48 mm² electrode surface area

However, increasing electrode surface area produced more uniform field strength between probes (Fig. 3). We created a metric, Volume of Tissue Stimulated (VTS), to clarify and compare probe configurations' ability to produce electrotaxis and predict the path

cells may take between probes (Fig. 4).

where J is current density (A/m2), E is electric field (V/m), V is voltage (in V), and σ is conductivity (S/m).

A minimum of 100mV/mm EF strength is required for efficacy, i.e. directed cell migration¹. Initial simulations used Global Inequality Constraints in the Optimization Module of 300 mV/mm as the optimal therapeutic EF strength⁴. Further, FDA-recommended safety limits for tissue stimulation include a maximum charge density of 30 μ C/cm² at electrode surfaces. Taken together, these values establish an initial 'therapeutic window.'



Figure 4. Volume of Tissue Stimulated (2D view) is a useful metric to gauge electric field strength between probes and the path stem cells will take between probes.

CONCLUSIONS: The goal is attaining field strength of 300 mV/mm at a probe separation of 6-10 mm while staying within FDA safety limits. Novel, specialized electrode designs are often required for new applications. Further modeling will analyze if *directional* electrodes may improve electrotaxis.





Figure 2. Comparing electric field strength with 6mm² electrode surface area. Top: 2.8 mm probe separation used in rats. Bottom: 10mm probe separation as would be used in humans.

REFERENCES:

- 1. Feng, J. F. et al., Electrical guidance of human stem cells in the rat brain, Stem Cell Reports, 9, 177-189 (2017).
- 2. Babona-Pilipos, R., et al., Biphasic monopolar electrical stimulation induces rapid and directed galvanotaxis in adult subependymal neural precursors, Stem Cell Res Ther, 6, 1-13 (2015).
- Pfluger, T. and Zhao, S. The impact of electric fields on cell processes, membrane proteins, and intracellular signaling cascades, in *Conductive Polymers: Electrical Interactions in Cell Biology and Medicine*, Z. Zhang, M. Rouabhia, and S. E. Moulton, Eds. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press (2018].
- 4. Dokos S., Modelling Organs, Tissues, Cells and Devices Using MATLAB and COMSOL Multiphysics. Berlin: Springer (2017).

Excerpt from the Proceedings of the 2019 COMSOL Conference in Boston